1	Case 5:08-cv-03605-PVT Document 1 Filed 07/2	28/2008 Page 1 of 17				
		- D.				
1	I I Offices of Wetherine & Clark	FILED Feelace				
2	2 919 The Alameda	21				
3	3 Telephone: (408) 350-7523	18 JUL 28 P 3: 11				
4	4 Facsimile: (408) 436-8583	RICHARD W. WIEKING CLERK				
5	Attorneys for Plaintiff TECHNOLOGY CREDIT	S. DISTRICT COURT O. DIST. OF CA. S.J.				
6	1					
7						
8	l l					
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN JOSE DIVISION					
10						
11	1 COS	303605 _{PVT}				
12	CORPOR ATION					
13	3 COM	PLAINT FOR BREACH OF TRACT				
14	4					
15	5 KEY FOLIPMENT FINANCE, INC.,					
16	KEY EQUIPMENT FINANCE, INC., KEY CORPORATE CAPITAL INC.,					
17	Defendants.					
18	8					
19	Plaintiff, TECHNOLOGY CREDIT CORP	ORATION, hereby brings this				
20	action against Defendants KEY EQUIPMENT FI	NANCE, INC. and KEY				
21	CORPORATE CAPITAL INC.	CORPORATE CAPITAL INC.				
22	22					
23	PRELIMINARY ALLE	PRELIMINARY ALLEGATIONS				
24	1. JURISDICTION This action is a civil action over which this Court ha					
25		original jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. §1332. The amount in controversy exceeds				
26	\$75,000 and there is complete diversity of citizenship between Plaintiff and					
27						
28	28	AINT FOR DAMAGES				
	-1- COMPL.	THE LOW DUMMORD				

- 2. Plaintiff TECHNOLOGY CREDIT CORPORATION (hereinafter "TCC") is a corporation which was incorporated in California and is validly existing under the laws of the State of California, with its principal place of business in San Jose, California. Plaintiff is authorized to transact and is transacting business in the Northern District of California.
- 3. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Defendant KEY EQUIPMENT FINANCE, INC. is a corporation which was incorporated in Michigan and is validly existing under the laws of the State of Michigan, with its principal place of business in Superior, Colorado. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Defendant KEY CORPORATE CAPITAL, INC. is the former name of KEY EQUIPMENT FINANCE, INC. Plaintiff is informed and believes that at all relevant times herein, Defendants were doing business in this judicial district.
- 4. VENUE—The contract which is the subject matter of this lawsuit was entered into in San Jose, California. The agreement is expressly governed by the laws of the State of California.
- 5. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that at all times relevant hereto each Defendant was completely dominated and controlled by its Co-Defendant and each was the alter-ego of the other.
- 6. Whenever and wherever reference is made in this Complaint to any act by a Defendant or Defendants, such allegations and reference shall also be deemed to mean the acts and failures to act of each Defendant acting individually, jointly and severally.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION (BREACH OF WRITTEN CONTRACT)

7. Plaintiff hereby incorporates each and every paragraph set forth above,

6

12

13 14

15 16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25 26

27

28

as though fully set forth herein.

- On or about September 30, 2002, Defendant KEY CORPORATE 8. CAPITAL, INC. filed a Complaint against TCC in the Santa Clara County Superior Court (Action No. CV811546). The Complaint alleged, among other causes of action, breach of contract. TCC answered the Complaint and filed a Cross-Complaint for damages. Following a series of mediation sessions, a settlement agreement was reached.
- On or about August 24, 2004 in the City of San Jose, Santa Clara 9. County, California, Plaintiff TCC and Defendant KEY CORPORATE CAPITAL, INC. entered into a Settlement Agreement and Release, a copy of which is attached as Exhibit "A" and made a part of this Complaint. In accordance with the terms of the Settlement Agreement, the Complaint and Cross-Complaint filed in Action No. CV811546 were dismissed with prejudice. The Settlement Agreement superseded all prior written agreements between TCC and KEY. All prior written agreements were terminated by the Settlement Agreement, with the exception that Key agreed to "pay remarketing fees to TCC, if any arise, under the ongoing lease to BellSouth, Number R110401A (the "BellSouth Lease"), which currently extends through October 31, 2005...." (Para. C(2) of the Settlement Agreement.)
- Plaintiff TCC has performed all of its obligations under the Settlement 10. Agreement.
- Paragraph C(2)(a) of the Settlement Agreement provides: "If lease, 11. purchase or other revenues are received by Key from the BellSouth Lease beyond the lease payments due during the Initial Lease Term, then Key will pay TCC a 30% remarketing fee on all such post-Initial Lease Term revenues. These post-Initial Lease Term revenues include any month-to-month lease payments...." Paragraph C(2)(b) of the Settlement Agreement states: "Key will pay TCC the remarketing fee described in subparagraph a. above within 30 days of receipt of any such post-Initial

- 12. Plaintiff is informed and believes that KEY received some post-Initial Lease Term payments from BellSouth beginning November 2, 2005 and ending June 2, 2006.
- 13. Beginning in or about December of 2007, Plaintiff TCC demanded that KEY pay TCC the 30% remarketing fee in accordance with the terms of the Settlement Agreement.
- 14. On December 13, 2007, Defendant KEY EQUIPMENT FINANCE (formerly KEY CORPORATE CAPITAL, INC.), through its Vice President and Senior Workout Counsel, admitted in writing that KEY had received eight post-Initial Lease Term payments from BellSouth. KEY asserted that a portion of each payment went to pay personal property and other taxes, leaving a net to KEY for each payment of \$142,300.08. KEY admitted that 30% of the total balance (\$1,138,406.40) is \$341,591.92 which is the amount due and owing to Plaintiff.
- 15. Defendants breached the Settlement Agreement by failing to pay Plaintiff the remarketing fees due pursuant to Paragraph C(2) of the Settlement Agreement.
- 16. Despite Plaintiff's multiple demands for payment, Defendants have failed to and refuse to pay the sum of \$341,591.92 which remains due and owing.
- 17. As a result of Defendants' breach of the Settlement Agreement, Plaintiff has sustained damages in a sum in excess of \$341,591.92.
- 18. As a result of Defendants' breach, Plaintiff is entitled to an award of prejudgment interest at the rate of 10% per annum on the amount remaining due.

REQUEST FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for relief against Defendants as follows:

1. Compensatory damages in the amount of \$341,591.92 or an amount

SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AND RELEASE

This Settlement Agreement and Release (referred to herein as the "Agreement") is entered into as of August 24, 2004, by and between: Key Corporate Capital Inc. ("Key"), on the one hand; and Technology Credit Corporation ("TCC"), on the other hand; on behalf of themselves and their predecessors, successors, receivers, assigns, affiliated entities and subsidiaries, and their past or present owners, shareholders, partners, employees, agents, attorneys, representatives, officers, directors, and any other person, firm or corporation now, previously, or hereinafter, responsible by or through them. Key and TCC are collectively referred to herein as the "parties."

A. RECITALS

- 1. Key and TCC entered into an Equipment Acquisition Agreement (the "EAA"), dated October 10, 1988, by which TCC agreed to sell to Key equipment leases that TCC originated through referral programs with various vendors. Between 1988 and 2002, the parties entered into transactions for the sale from TCC to Key of thousands of equipment leases (the "Leases").
- 2. On or about April 10, 1992, the parties entered into a Remarketing Agreement by which Key agreed to pay TCC a fee for certain remarketing events involving the disposition of leased equipment (the "Equipment") during and/or after the initial lease term. On or about October 14, 1997, the parties entered into an Amended Remarketing Agreement. The 1992 Remarketing Agreement and the 1997 Amended Remarketing Agreement are collectively referred to herein as the "Remarketing Agreements."
- 3. A dispute arose between the parties regarding, among other things, whether TCC had failed to properly remit to Key all proceeds received from the Leases and the

906650 v5/SF ifkq051.DQC Equipment whether Key had fully paid TCC for remarketing fees, and whether TCC had defamed Key.

- 4. On September 30, 2002. Key filed a Complaint for Breach of Contract. etc. against TCC in the California Superior Court, for the County of Santa Clara, Action No. CV811546 (the "Action"). An Amended Complaint was filed on January 10, 2003. The Complaint and the Amended Complaint are collectively referred to herein as the "Complaint." The Complaint sought, among other things, actual and punitive damages for breach of contract, conversion, civil theft, intentional interference with contractual relations, trade disparagement, and defamation.
- 5. On or about April 2, 2003, TCC filed its Cross-Complaint ("Cross-Complaint") against Key in the Action, seeking, among other things, TCC's remarketing fees and other damages in connection with claims for breach of contract, conversion and accounting.
- 6. On August 24, 2004, the parties reached a settlement of this matter, as set forth in their Settlement Memorandum of that same date. They confirm and finalize that settlement by way of this Agreement.
- 7. The facts and circumstances referred to in this Section A, including any and all allegations that have been made or could have been made in the Complaint, the Cross-Complaint, or the Action, and anything related to or arising out of the EAA, the Remarketing Agreements, the Leases, the Equipment, or the defamation claims, at any point in time prior to the effective date of this Agreement, are hereinafter referred to as the "Dispute".
- B. The parties wish to avoid the extensive time and further costs of litigation and, subject to and upon full satisfaction of the terms of this Agreement, to fully and finally settle and discharge all past and present claims, controversies, demands, actions or causes of action, which

906650 V5/SF)fkq051.DOC

they may have or claim to have against each other, which arise out of, or are in any way related to, the Complaint, the Cross-Complaint, the Action, the FAA, the Remarketing Agreements, the Leases, the Equipment, the defamation claims, or the Dispute.

- For and in consideration of the covenants described below, the parties agree as €. follows:
- Within 10 business days of receipt of a fully executed original of this 1. Settlement Agreement, the parties will simultaneously exchange, through counsel, the following two checks:
 - TCC will pay Key the sum of Four Hundred Fifty Thousand **a.** dollars (\$450,000.00); and
 - Key will pay TCC, or its designee, the sum of One Million Eight b. Hundred Fifty Thousand dollars (\$1,850,000.00).
- As set forth in the mutual release in paragraph D below, and subject to the Ž. other terms of this Agreement, the intent of this Agreement is to terminate all further obligations the parties have to each other with regard to the EAA, the Remarketing Agreements, the Leases and the Equipment, including without limitation, any obligation by Key to pay any additional or future remarketing fees to TCC. The one exception to the termination of these rights is that Key will pay remarketing fees to TCC, if any arise, under the ongoing lease to BellSouth, Number R110401A (the "BellSouth Lease"), which currently extends through October 31, 2005 (the "Initial Lease Term"), as provided below:
- If lease, purchase or other revenues are received by Key from the BellSouth Lease beyond the lease payments due during the Initial Lease Term, then Key will pay TCC a 30% remarketing fee on all such post-Initial Lease Term revenues. These post-Initial

Lease Term revenues include any month-to-month lease payments, purchases of the equipment. lease renewals, and purchases or renewals prior to the expiration of the Initial Lease Term (in which event the present value of the rents not yet paid during the Initial Lease Term shall first be subtracted from the early purchase or renewal payment), as well as revenues from the sale, rental or lease of the related equipment to third parties.

- Key will pay TCC the remarketing fee described in subparagraph a. above within 30 days of receipt of any such post-Initial Lease Term revenues.
- Key will be entitled to receive the proceeds from the escrow account .3. currently held by SNS Netsolve, currently thought to total approximately \$77,500. To facilitate this payment, the parties will jointly execute the letter to SNS Netsolve attached hereto, marked as Exhibit 1.
- TCC hereby waives provision number 11 of the August 24 Settlement Memorandum conditioning this settlement on resolution of the claims between TCC and its insurer. Golden Eagle. TCC represents that all such claims between it and Golden Eagle have been resolved, and accordingly TCC fully binds itself to this Agreement and the settlement contemplated hereunder.
- Within 10 business days after exchange of the checks referenced in 5. paragraph C.I above, Key and TCC will jointly prepare and file a Request for Dismissal, with prejudice, of the Action, the Complaint and the Cross-Complaint.
- For and in consideration of the covenants set forth in Section C above, and subject D. to the other terms of this Agreement, the parties agree as follows:
- Except as to the Excluded Preference Actions described below, each party 1. hereby releases, acquits and forever discharges the other party from any and all claims, demands,

actions, causes of action, obligations, damages, promises, controversies, and liabilities of any nature whatsoever, whether or not now known, suspected, disclosed, or claimed which it ever had, now has or claims to have against the other party, from the beginning of time to the effective date of this Agreement, arising out of or related to the following:

- All claims described in, and all matters referred to in, the Complaint, the Cross-Complaint, and the Action, and any and all claims or causes of action, whether or not now known, disclosed or asserted, which might have been asserted in the Action. arising out of, based on, or in any way related to the EAA, the Remarketing Agreements, the Leases, the Equipment, the defamation claims, and/or the Dispute;
- Any representations, statements or agreements made or actions taken by either of the parties with respect to any of the matters described or referred to in subparagraph a, above.
- Other than as provided in this Agreement, the only exceptions to the general and mutual releases set forth above are any preference or other bankruptcy related actions filed against TCC in connection with Leases originated by TCC and then sold to Key. where Key actually received the lease or other payments alleged to constitute preferences (the "Excluded Preference Actions").
- As of the date of this Agreement, the parties are aware of two Excluded Preference Actions:
- (i) Broadband Office. Inc. v. TCC dba Extreme Networks Credit Corporation, filed in the United States Bankruptcy Court, for the District of Delaware. Case No. 01-1720 (GMS), dated December 23, 2003, and transferred on June 21, 2004 to the

United States District Court, for the District of Delaware, Case No. 04-CV-407 (GMS), alleging two preference payments totaling \$771,875.07; and

- PSINET Liquidating LLC v. TCC, filed in the United States (ii) Bankruptcy Court, for the Southern District of New York, Case No. 01-13213 (REG), dated May 28, 2003, alleging five preference payments totaling \$7,537,502.00.
- Key agrees to provide reasonable assistance to TCC in its efforts to b. obtain a dismissal of the Excluded Preference Actions; provided however, that this assistance does not and will not include the voluntary payment, by Key to TCC or any other party, of costs or attorneys' fees incurred by TCC or any other third party in defense of the Excluded Preference Actions. TCC expressly reserves the right to pursue claims against Key for indemnity and defense costs related to these Excluded Preference Actions. By agreeing to allow TCC to make this reservation. Key does not agree that TCC has any right to pursue such claims and, on the contrary. Key hereby denies that TCC has such right and such claims.
- Each party understands and expressly waives any nights or benefits 3. available to it under section 1542 of the Civil Code of California which provides:

"A general release does not extend to claims which the creditor does not know or suspect to exist in his favor at the time of executing the release, which if known by him must have materially affected his settlement with the debtor."

- Each party represents and warrants that as of the date of execution of this 4. Agreement it has the sole right and authority to execute this Agreement on its behalf, and that it has not sold, assigned, transferred, conveyed, or otherwise disposed of any claim or demand relating to any right surrendered by virtue of this Agreement.
- With the exception of the Excluded Preference Actions referred to in Section D E. above, each party agrees never to commence, assist in any way, prosecute or cause, permit or advise to be commenced or prosecuted against the other party any action or proceeding based

upon any claims, demands, causes of action, obligations, damages, or liabilities of any nature whatsoever, whether or not now known, suspected, disclosed or claimed, which it ever had, now has, or hereafter may have or claim to have against the other party, from the beginning of time to the effective date of this Agreement, pertaining to the matters identified in Section D, above. It is the express intention of the parties to exclude from the provisions of this Section E. the Excluded Preference Actions described in Section D above.

- F. Each party agrees that if it hereafter commences, joins in, or in any manner seeks relief through any suit arising out of, based upon, or relating to any of the claims released hereunder, or in any manner asserts against the other party any claims released hereunder, then it will pay to the other party, in addition to any and all other damages caused thereby, all attorneys' fees incurred in defending or otherwise responding to said suit or claim.
- G. The fact that the parties are entering into this Agreement shall not at any time or place be taken or construed to be an admission on the part of either of the parties that any claim made in the Action existed or exists, and each party expressly denies the existence and validity of any such claim.
- H. Except as otherwise provided herein, each party fully understands that if the facts with respect to which this Agreement is executed be found hereafter to be different from the facts now believed to be true, it expressly accepts and assumes the risk of such possible difference in facts and agrees that this Agreement shall be and remain effective notwithstanding such difference in facts.
- E. This Agreement shall be binding upon and shall inure to the benefit of all the individuals and entities listed in the introductory paragraph of this Agreement and collectively referred to throughout this Agreement as Key, TCC, and the parties.

906650 v5/SF ifkq05!.DOC

- I. This Agreement is in lieu of, supersedes and extinguishes all other agreements, negotiations, understandings, and representations which may have been made or entered into by and between the parties, including the Settlement Memorandum between the parties dated August 24, and constitutes the entire agreement between the parties. It is expressly understood and agreed that this Agreement may not be altered, amended, modified or otherwise changed in any respect or particular whatsoever except by a writing duly executed by an authorized representative of the parties.
- K. The parties shall each bear their own attorneys' fees and costs arising out of the Action and the negotiation, execution and implementation of this Agreement.
 - L. This Agreement shall be governed by California law.
- M. This Agreement shall be interpreted and construed neutrally in accordance with the plain meaning of the language contained herein and shall not be presumptively construed against the drafters.
- N. This Agreement may be executed in counterparts, each of which shall be deemed to be an original, but such counterparts together shall constitute one and the same instrument.
- O. Each party acknowledges and declares that it has read this Agreement that it knows and understands the contents of the Agreement that it fully understands and appreciates the words and terms and effect of this Agreement, and that this Agreement has been executed voluntarily.
- P. Each party acknowledges and declares that it has been represented by counsel throughout the litigation of the Action and with respect to the negotiation, execution and implementation of this Agreement and all matters covered by and relating to the Action and this Agreement, and that it has been fully advised by said attorneys with respect to its rights and

obligations and with respect to the execution of this Agreement.

Q. WHEREFORE, the parties execute this Agreement and agree to be bound by its terms.

KEY CORPORATE CAPITAL INC.

TECHNOLOGY CREDIT CORPORATION

By: Karen L. Larson

By: Lawrence M. Clark

Its: Director, Global Vendor Services

Its: President

APPROVAL AS TO FORM:

Each of the undersigned attorneys states that the terms of the foregoing Agreement have been read by and explained to his clients.

BENJAMIN K RILEY

COOLEY GODWARD LLP

Attorneys for Key Corporate Capital Inc.

BRUCE C. PIONTKOWSKI

ROPERS, MAJESKI, KOHN & BENTLEY

Attorneys for Technology Credit Corporation

obligations and with respect to the execution of this Agreement.

Q. WHEREFORE, the parties execute this Agreement and agree to be bound by its terms.

KEY CORPORATE CAPITAL INC.

TECHNOLOGY CREDIT CORPORATION

Ву:_____

Karen L. Larson

Laurence M Clark

Its: Director, Global Vendor Services

Its: President

APPROVAL AS TO FORM:

Each of the undersigned attorneys states that the terms of the foregoing Agreement have been read by and explained to his clients.

BENJAMIN K. RILEY
COOLEY GODWARD LLP
Attorneys for Key Corporate Capital Inc.

Bruce C. Piontkowski

ROPERS, MAJESKI, KOHN & BENTLEY Attorneys for Technology Credit Corporation November 4, 2004

Mr. Perry Barth Controller SNS Netsolve 9500 Amberglen Boulevard Austin, Texas 78729

Dear Mr. Barth:

As discussed with Sharon Ruiz, in connection with the settlement of a lawsuit between Key Corporate Capital ("Key") and Technology Credit Corporation ("TCC"), Key and TCC have agreed that the escrow account SNS Netsolve currently holds for them, totaling approximately \$77,500, shall be immediately paid to Key. Please send a check for the entire amount currently in the escrow to Key c/o Jonathan Braun. Esq., Key Equipment Finance, 1000 South McCaslin Blvd., Superior, Colorado 80027.

In addition, all future lease payments owed in connection with any equipment lease originated by TCC and later sold to Key should be directed to Mr. Braun at the above address.

Please call Mr. Braun at (720) 304-1247, or Key's outside counsel, Ben Riley at (415) 693-2092, should you have any questions. Thank you for your cooperation and prompt attention to this matter.

Very truly yours,

Karen L. Larson

Key Equipment Finance Director, Global Vendor Services Very truly yours.

Lawrence M. Clark

Technology Credit Corporation President

November 4, 2004

Mr. Perry Barth Controller SNS Netsolve 9500 Amberglen Boulevard Austin, Texas 78729

Dear Mr. Barth:

As discussed with Sharon Ruiz, in connection with the settlement of a lawsuit between Key Corporate Capital ("Key") and Technology Credit Corporation ("TCC"), Key and TCC have agreed that the escrow account SNS Netsolve currently holds for them, totaling approximately \$77,500, shall be immediately paid to Key. Please send a check for the entire amount currently in the escrow to Key c/o Jonathan Braun, Esq., Key Equipment Finance, 1000 South McCaslin Blvd., Superior, Colorado 80027.

In addition, all future lease payments owed in connection with any equipment lease originated by TCC and later sold to Key should be directed to Mr. Braun at the above address.

Please call Mr. Braun at (720) 304-1247, or Key's outside counsel, Ben Riley at (415) 693-2092, should you have any questions. Thank you for your cooperation and prompt attention to this matter.

Very truly yours,

Karen L. Larson

Key Equipment Finance Director, Global Vendor Services Very truly yours,

Lawrence M. Clark

Technology Credit Corporation President The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil dealers the states of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil dealers the civil dealers the civil dealers are considered.

the civil docket sheet. (SEE)	MSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERS	E OF THE FORM.)				
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS			DEFENDANTS			
TECHNOLOGY CRED	IT CORPORATION		KEY EQUIPME CAPITAL, INC.	KEY EQUIPMENT FINANCE, INC., KEY CORPORATE CAPITAL, INC.		
(b) County of Residence	e of First Listed Plaintiff Sa	nt o C lara	County of Residence o	f First Listed Defendant	UNKNOWN	
0	EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFE CONTE	Mar.		(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES (ONLY)	
*	E-ME	•	NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE LAND INVOLVED.			
	ne, Address, and Telephone Number)		Attorneys (If Known)			
Alameda, San Jose, Ca	v Offices of Katherine S A_95126 (408-350-7523	3/6(0,209-9303)	C08	-0360	15	
II. BASIS OF JURIS	DICTION (Place an "X" in C	ne Bak Only) III	. CITIZENSHIP OF P (For Diversity Cases Only)	RINCIPAL PARTIES(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff and One Box for Defendant)	
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	O 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not	a Party)	Citizen of This State		PTF DEF	
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	■ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)	f Parties in Itam III)	Citizen of Another State	2 Z Incorporated and P of Business In A		
	(undicate Citizensin) o	r Farties III Itelii III)	Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	3	06 06	
IV. NATURE OF SU	IT (Place an "X" in One Box Only)				
☐ 110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY	PERSONAL INJURY	GORFEUURE/PENAL DY 610 Agriculture	BANKRUPTCY 422 Appeal 28 USC 158	O'PHER STATUTES 400 State Reapportionment	
□ 120 Marine □ 130 Miller Act □ 140 Negotiable Instrument □ 150 Recovery of Overpayment Æ Enforcement of Judgmer □ 151 Medicare Act □ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excl. Veterans) □ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits □ 160 Stockholders' Suits ☑ 190 Other Contract □ 195 Contract Product Liability □ 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY □ 210 Land Condemnation □ 220 Foreclosure □ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment □ 240 Torts to Land □ 245 Tort Product Liability	320 Assault, Libel & Slander	Med. Malpractice 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPERTY 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage 385 Property Damage Product Liability PRISONER PETITIONS 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence Habeas Corpus:	□ 620 Other Food & Drug □ 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 □ 630 Liquor Laws □ 640 R.R. & Truck □ 650 Airline Regs. □ 660 Occupational Safety/Health □ 690 Other EABOR □ 710 Fair Labor Standards Act □ 720 Labor/Mgmt. Relations □ 730 Labor/Mgmt. Reporting & Disclosure Act □ 740 Railway Labor Act □ 790 Other Labor Litigation □ 791 Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act .	□ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 ■ PROPERTY RIGHTS □ 820 Copyrights □ 830 Patent □ 840 Trademark ■ SOCIAL SECURITY □ 861 HIA (1395ff) □ 862 Black Lung (923) □ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) □ 864 SSID Title XVI □ 865 RSI (405(g)) ■ FEDERAL TAX SUITS □ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) □ 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	□ 410 Antitrust □ 430 Banks and Banking □ 450 Commerce □ 460 Deportation □ 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations □ 480 Consumer Credit □ 490 Cable/Sat TV ■ 810 Selective Service □ 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange □ 875 Customer Challenge □ 12 USC 3410 □ 890 Other Statutory Actions □ 891 Agricultural Acts □ 892 Economic Stabilization Act □ 893 Environmental Matters □ 894 Energy Allocation Act □ 895 Freedom of Information Act □ 900Appeal of Fee Determination	
☐ 290 All Other Real Property		540 Mandamus & Other 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition	☐ 462 Naturalization Application ☐ 463 Habeas Corpus - Alien Detainee ☐ 465 Other Immigration Actions		Under Equal Access to Justice 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes	
□ 2 I	State Court Ap	pellate Court	Reopened another			
VI. CAUSE OF ACT	ION Cite the U.S. Civil Statut 28 U.S.C. 1332 Brief description of caus	e:	ling (Do not cite jurisdiction: SETTLEMENT AGREI			
VII. REQUESTED II COMPLAINT:	N	A CLASS ACTION	DEMAND \$ 1,591.92		if demanded in complaint:	
VIII. RELATED CA	SE(S) (See instructions):	JDGE	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	DOCKET NUMBER		
DATE 7/29/05	7	SIGNATURE OF ATTOM	RNEY OF RECORD	<u> </u>		
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY	ı	RAUL	ile & Cla	V		
RECEIPT#	AMOUNT	APPLYING IFP	JUDGE	MAG. JUI	DGE	